DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2013-0102; Notice 1]

Morgan 3 Wheeler Limited, Receipt of Petition for

Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA),
Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Receipt of Petition.

SUMMARY: Morgan 3 Wheeler Limited (Morgan)¹ has determined that certain model year (MY) 2012 and 2013 Morgan model M3W three-wheeled motorcycles, do not fully comply with paragraph S6 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 205, Glazing Materials. Morgan has filed an appropriate report dated August 6, 2013, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on this petition. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted by any of the following methods:

¹ Morgan 3 Wheeler Limited is an English corporation that manufactures motor vehicles.

_

- Mail: Send comments by mail addressed to: U.S.
 Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30,
 West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New
 Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Deliver: Deliver comments by hand to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20590. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 am to 5 pm except Federal Holidays.
- Electronically: Submit comments electronically by:
 logging onto the Federal Docket Management System
 (FDMS) website at http://www.regulations.gov/. Follow
 the online instructions for submitting comments.
 Comments may also be faxed to (202) 493-2251.

Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

Documents submitted to a docket may be viewed by anyone at the address and times given above. The documents may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by following the online instructions for accessing the dockets.

DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement is available for review in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000, (65 FR 19477-78).

The petition, supporting materials, and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be filed and will be considered. All comments and supporting materials received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the petition is granted or denied, notice of the decision will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Morgan's petition: Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) (see implementing rule at 49 CFR part 556), Morgan submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of Morgan's petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any

agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

- II. Vehicles involved: Affected are approximately 139 MY 2012 and 2013 Morgan model M3W three-wheeled motorcycles manufactured during the period August 1, 2012 to August 14, 2013.
- III. Noncompliance: Morgan explains that the noncompliance is that the wind deflectors on the vehicles do not have the markings required by FMVSS No. 205.
- IV. Rule Text: Paragraph S6 of FMVSS No. 205 requires in pertinent part:
 - S6.1 A prime glazing material manufacturer must certify, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 30115, each piece of glazing material to which this standard applies that is designed—
 - (a) As a component of any specific motor vehicle or camper; or
 - (b) To be cut into components for use in motor vehicles or items of motor vehicle equipment.
 - S6.2 A prime glazing manufacturer certifies its glazing by adding to the marks required by section 7 of ANSI/SAE Z26.1-1996, in letters and numerals of the same size, the symbol "DOT" and a manufacturer's code mark that NHTSA assigns to the manufacturer. NHTSA will assign a code mark to a manufacturer after the manufacturer submits a written request to the Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. The request must include the company name, address, and a statement from the manufacturer certifying its status as a prime glazing manufacturer as defined in S4.

- S6.3 A manufacturer or distributor who cuts a section of glazing material to which this standard applies, for use in a motor vehicle or camper, must—
 - (a) Mark that material in accordance with section 7 of ANSI/SAE Z26.1-1996; and
 - (b) Certify that its product complies with this standard in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 30115.
- V. Summary of Morgan's Analyses: Morgan stated its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety for the following reasons:
 - a. The wind deflector fitted in the M3W uses glazing that conforms to item 6 ANSI 226.1-1996-windshields for motorcycles. It is so small (its dimensions are 10"x5") that it is not requisite for driving visibility.
 - b. Morgan owners will go to Morgan dealers for replacement of the wind deflector.
 - c. The noncompliance is not likely to increase the safety risk to individual occupants who experience the type of injurious event against which the standard was designed to protect.
 - d. There have been no reports of any safety issues. Both in the US and the rest of the world, Morgan knows of no injuries caused by the noncompliance.
 - e. The subject noncompliance here is inconsequential in view of the nature of the vehicle in question because

Morgan possesses attributes enumerated in several previous NHTSA inconsequential noncompliance determinations that it believes can be applied to a decision on its petition. See Morgan's petition for a complete discussion of its reasoning.

Morgan additionally stated that it shall as regards ongoing production; mark the wind deflector to comply with the FMVSS No. 205 requirements.

In summation, Morgan believes that the described noncompliance of the subject vehicles is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition, to exempt from providing recall notification of noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118 and remedying the recall noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120 should be granted.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, any decision on this petition only applies to the vehicles that Morgan no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, a decision on this petition cannot relieve

7

vehicle distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant motor vehicles under their control after Morgan notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

Authority: (49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120: delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8)

Issued on: January 9, 2014.

Claude H. Harris, Director,
Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

Billing Code: 4910-59-P

[FR Doc. 2014-00568 Filed 01/13/2014 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 01/14/2014]